| Rubric for Service Learning Experience | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|---|
| Task Description: This rubric will be used to assess the planning and execution of the service learning experience as well your participation. It will be used to determine your overall grade for the duration of this project. | | | | |
| Criteria | 4 – Strong Impact | 3 – Good Impact | 2 – Some Impact | 1 – Minimal Impact |
| Planning Process 25% | ☐ Thoroughly covers the selected project Model/ FCCLA Planning Process ☐ Well planned ☐ Willingness to take risks ☐ Involves community partners ☐ Reflections | □ Addresses portions of the selected project Model/FCCLA Planning Process □ Attempts well developed ideas that lead to a general understanding □ Willingness to take some risks | □ Limited coverage of the selected project Model FCCLA Planning Process □ Limited explanation of ideas creates misunderstanding of project □ Minimal evidence to take risks | □ No evidence of use of the selected project Model/FCCLA Planning Process □ Lacks thoroughness □ Little evidence of willingness to take risk |
| Correlated with FCCLA projects and FCS curriculum | ☐ Skillfully integrates essential knowledge and skills from Family and Consumer Sciences course ☐ Addresses a state or national FCCLA project ☐ Incorporates 21st Century Skills | □ Integrates essential knowledge and skills from a Family and Consumer Sciences course □ Project is loosely based on a state or national FCCLA project | □ Some evidence of integration of essential knowledge and skills from a Family and Consumer Sciences course □ Project is not based on a state or national FCCLA project | □ No evidence of integration of essential knowledge and skills from a Family and Consumer Sciences course □ State and national concerns are not addressed; project is based on student needs |
| Facilitate changes to improve the quality of life for person(s) served | □ Effectively helps to alleviate a suffering or solve a problem □ Well-planned project meets a need or addresses an issue | □ Superficial changes affirm an existing community program □ Project enhances needs already being met | ☐ Some project benefits are evident☐ Limited community needs are met | □ No substantive changes are evident □ Needs are addressed, but not met |
| Encourage development of a caring spirit for and about others | □ Evaluation process reflects affective personal growth □ Project facilitates "buy-in" to additional student service | □ Evaluation process reflects generic growth □ Project verifies importance of student service | ☐ Affective growth is centered around student needs as opposed to community needs ☐ Evaluation centers around project itself rather than community needs addressed | ☐ Little or no affective personal growth is evident ☐ Evidence reflects self-centered aspects of service |
| Assignment Score + Beyonder/Bonus = Final Score | | | | |

Name: _______ Period: ______ Date: _____